

Increasing community awareness of Advance Care Planning

Report

30 August 2018

Greg Bourke, Senior Manager - Complex Needs and Inner North Programs, cohealth

Linda Baker, Advance Care Planning Project Manager, cohealth

Mary Natoli, Community Health Nurse – Aged Care, cohealth

This project was funded by the North West Melbourne Primary Health Network

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---------------------------|----|
| Table of Contents..... | 3 |
| List of Tables | 4 |
| Acknowledgements..... | 5 |
| Aim | 6 |
| Method | 6 |
| Design..... | 6 |
| Data Analysis..... | 6 |
| Results..... | 7 |
| Session One..... | 7 |
| Session Two..... | 28 |
| Additional Outcomes | 39 |
| What worked well..... | 39 |
| Challenges..... | 40 |
| Limitations..... | 40 |
| Recommendations..... | 40 |
| Appendices..... | 42 |
| Appendix A..... | 43 |
| Appendix B..... | 46 |
| References | 48 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Session one by Local Government Area..... | 7 |
| Table 2. Session one by partner organisation | 8 |
| Table 3. Session one attendance and questionnaire completions..... | 9 |
| Table 4. Session one demographics..... | 11 |
| Table 5. Ever heard or talked to about ACP pre session..... | 14 |
| Table 6. Session one agreement with pre statements about Advance Care Planning..... | 15 |
| Table 7. Session one agreement with post statements about Advance Care Planning | 17 |
| Table 8. Level of understanding session one | 20 |
| Table 9. Level of understanding those not confident talk to doctor | 21 |
| Table 10. T1 – T2 timeframe | 28 |
| Table 11. Session two by Local Government Area | 29 |
| Table 12. Session two by partner organisation | 29 |
| Table 13. Session two demographics..... | 30 |
| Table 14. Think or talk about Advance Care Planning | 30 |
| Table 15. Who did you talk to about Advance Care Planning? | 31 |
| Table 16. How many conversations about Advance Care Planning? | 31 |
| Table 17. Complete a written Advance Care Plan | 32 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would like to acknowledge the support of:

North West Melbourne Primary Health Network

cohealth

Merri Health

We would also like to acknowledge the work of the Steering Committee members:

Margo Roest, Program Officer, Advance Care Planning, North West Melbourne Primary Health Network

Phil Peladarinos, Coordinator, Social Support and Disability Support Programs, Merri Health

Christine Ferlazzo, Project Manager, Service Development, Merri Health

Sarah O’Leary, Manager, Integration, North West Melbourne Primary Health Network

Faith Hawthorne, Lawyer, cohealth

AIM

The aim of this project was to deliver age and culturally appropriate information about Advance Care Planning (ACP) to local community groups of older or culturally and linguistically diverse individuals across nine Local Government Areas in the north and west of Melbourne.

METHOD

DESIGN

A short presentation on ACP was delivered to local community groups of older or culturally and linguistically diverse individuals. This was session one. Participants were invited to complete a questionnaire comprising pre and post questions to evaluate session one. See Appendix A for the session one questionnaire.

A small number of these local community groups were then revisited and participants were invited to complete a second questionnaire exploring behaviour change inclusive of ACP conversations and completion of written Advance Care Plans. This was session two. See Appendix B for the session two questionnaire.

DATA ANALYSIS

Quantitative data from the session one and session two questionnaires were collated and analysed. Qualitative data were analysed thematically.

RESULTS

SESSION ONE

ATTENDANCE

A total of 58 groups comprising 1068 participants attended the session one ACP presentation. Attendance in session one groups ranged between 2 - 50 participants.

SAMPLE

Participants comprised community based individuals attending local community groups across the nine Local Government Areas. A total of 278 participants completed the session one questionnaire – giving a response rate of 26%.

Local Government Area by group

Session one groups by Local Government Area in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Session one by Local Government Area

| | n | % |
|---------------|----|-----|
| Brimbank | 8 | 14 |
| Maribyrnong | 3 | 5 |
| Moreland | 9 | 16 |
| Yarra | 8 | 14 |
| Darebin | 9 | 16 |
| Hobsons Bay | 4 | 7 |
| Melbourne | 10 | 17 |
| Moonee Valley | 4 | 7 |
| Wyndham | 3 | 5 |
| Total | 58 | 100 |

This project delivered session one to 58 local community groups, across nine Local Government Area's in the north and western suburbs of Melbourne.

Partner organisations

This project was supported by a partnership with cohealth and Merri Health. Session one groups were recruited from cohealth, Merri Health and local community groups coded as 'other'. Session one groups by partner organisation in Table 2.

Table 2. Session one by partner organisation

| | n | % |
|--------------------|----|------|
| cohealth group | 19 | 33 |
| Merri Health group | 9 | 16 |
| other | 30 | 52 |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

Results indicate that just over half of the session one groups were recruited from local community groups – that were not cohealth or Merri Health groups.

Of the session one groups 59% (n=34) were culturally and linguistically diverse groups. Interpreters were used in 48% of the groups (n=28), indicating that some CALD groups did not require an interpreter.

Session one attendance and pre and post questionnaire

Session one groups by attendance and number of participants in each group completing a pre and post questionnaire are detailed in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Session one attendance and questionnaire completions

| Group name | Attendance session one | | Completed pre and post questionnaire | |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | n | % | n | % |
| West Sunshine walking group | 8 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| Afghani/Iranian Community group | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Older Italian Fri Brunswick | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| English Ladies Fri Brunswick | 19 | 2 | 12 | 4 |
| Aus Yugoslavian Pensioners | 33 | 3 | 10 | 4 |
| Bone Boosters | 16 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Young people ID | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Older Italian Mon Brunswick | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Older Italian Fri Glenroy | 12 | 1 | 12 | 4 |
| cohealth Fri community | 8 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| Turkish Womens | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| Strong Leaves | 33 | 3 | 20 | 7 |
| English Ladies Mon Glenroy | 20 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Older Men Thurs Brunswick | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Older Mixed Thurs Brunswick | 11 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Chinese carer Fri Kensington | 16 | 1 | 10 | 4 |
| Westvale Mens Shed | 16 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Kensington Mon Chinese Seniors | 42 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Church of All Nations Wed | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Chinese Wed North Melbourne | 29 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Flemington Chinese Seniors Thurs | 26 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| OPHR Mon Flemington | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Somali Thurs Collingwood | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Deer Park Senior Citizens | 45 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Vietnamese Elderly Collingwood | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| OPHR Fri Kensington | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| OPHR Thurs Carlton | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Indian Friendship group | 45 | 4 | 19 | 7 |
| Chinese Friendship group | 15 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| English Language Duke Street | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Chinese Thurs high rise North Melbourne | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| Vietnamese Chinese Seniors Fri Ascot Vale | 50 | 5 | 10 | 4 |
| Stronger Living exercise Niddrie | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Older Mixed Thurs Glenroy | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| STACC group | 22 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Italian Social Mon Preston | 22 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Shine | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| OPHR Thurs Williamstown | 10 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Mandarin Older and Active Reservoir | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Vietnamese Elderly Wed Kensington | 31 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| CALD Horn of Africa Seniors group | 42 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Laverton Probus Club | 38 | 4 | 3 | 1 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Rec West exercise group Pryme movers | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PANCH mixed Thurs group | 19 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Rushall Park | 11 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| OMNI Span House | 16 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| PAG STACC | 20 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| PANCH Ladies Fri | 28 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Gateway Williamstown | 14 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Preston Reservoir Indochinese elderly | 41 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| German aged Westvale | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Indochinese Elderly Refugees Friday | 16 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Mandarin Diabetes support group | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday | 16 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| DIVRS | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SPAN community group | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Indochinese Elderly Refugees Monday | 27 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Darebin Life Activities Club | 30 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 1068 | 100% | 278 | 100% |

^ Note. No pre or post evaluation data was collected from participants in the Pryme Movers or DIVRS group.

Attendance numbers at the session one groups varied greatly with 12% of groups recording attendance of 35 participants or over. Of the session one groups, two groups were attended by only two participants each. Both of these groups were advertised sessions inviting community members to attend but were not linked to an existing community group. This finding reinforces the usefulness of linking in with existing community groups.

Pre and post evaluation data was collected from 56 out of 58 of the session one groups (97%). Due to time constraints no pre or post evaluation data was collected from participants in the Pryme Movers exercise group or the DIVRS group (Darebin Information Volunteer Resource Service).

Of note, is that no more than 7% of the sample who completed a pre and post evaluation came from one community group. This suggests the pre and post evaluation data came from a wide range of groups.

Demographics

Session one demographic data is detailed in Table 4 below.

Table 4. Session one demographics

| | <i>n</i> | % |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 88 | 32 |
| Female | 190 | 68 |
| Total | 278 | 100% |
| Age | | |
| 20 - 29 | 5 | 2 |
| 30 - 39 | 5 | 2 |
| 40 - 49 | 8 | 3 |
| 50 - 59 | 17 | 6 |
| 60 - 69 | 71 | 26 |
| 70 - 79 | 69 | 25 |
| 80 - 89 | 88 | 32 |
| 90 - 99 | 14 | 5 |
| 100 - 109 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 278 | 100% |
| Local Government Area live | | |
| City of Melbourne | 34 | 12 |
| Moreland | 47 | 17 |
| Moonee Valley | 23 | 8 |
| Maribyrnong | 25 | 9 |
| Brimbank | 33 | 12 |
| Darebin | 23 | 8 |
| Wyndham | 32 | 12 |
| Hobsons Bay | 16 | 6 |
| Yarra | 28 | 10 |
| Banyule | 5 | 2 |
| Melton | 3 | 1 |
| Casey | 2 | 1 |
| Hume | 2 | 1 |

| | | |
|------------|-----|------|
| Frankston | 1 | 0 |
| Whitehorse | 1 | 0 |
| Boroondara | 1 | 0 |
| Whittlesea | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 278 | 100% |

Region of birth

| | | |
|---------------|-----|------|
| Asia | 126 | 45 |
| Australia | 74 | 27 |
| Europe | 64 | 23 |
| Africa | 11 | 4 |
| South America | 1 | 0 |
| Oceania | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 278 | 100% |

Main language

| | | |
|------------|----|----|
| English | 98 | 35 |
| Vietnamese | 48 | 17 |
| Mandarin | 29 | 10 |
| Italian | 25 | 9 |
| Cantonese | 17 | 6 |
| Punjabi | 10 | 4 |
| Gujarati | 9 | 3 |
| Somali | 8 | 3 |
| Serbian | 7 | 3 |
| Turkish | 6 | 2 |
| German | 5 | 2 |
| Dari | 4 | 1 |
| Croatian | 2 | 1 |
| Arabic | 1 | 0 |
| Filipino | 1 | 0 |
| Malaysian | 1 | 0 |
| Maltese | 2 | 1 |

| | | |
|------------|-----|------|
| Macedonian | 2 | 1 |
| Indonesian | 2 | 1 |
| Cambodian | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 278 | 100% |

Income

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Pensioner | 200 | 73 |
| Government payments (ie Centrelink) | 25 | 9 |
| Employee | 17 | 6 |
| Visitor visa | 17 | 6 |
| Self funded retiree | 11 | 4 |
| Self employed | 2 | 1 |
| None | 1 | 0 |
| Total (Missing = 5) | 273 | 100% |

Results indicate that of those who completed an evaluation 62% were aged 70 years of age and over – while 37% were aged 80 years of age and over. More than two thirds of participants (68%) were female. The largest percentage of participants lived in the Local Government Areas of Moreland (17%), Brimbank, (12%), City of Melbourne (12%) and Wyndham (12%). Forty five percent of participants were born in Asia. The main language spoken by participants was English (35%) and Vietnamese (17%). While more than a third of the sample nominated English as their main language – this project involved participants citing nineteen languages other than English as their main language.

The overwhelming majority of participants were pensioners (73%). Of note is that only a small percentage of participants (4%) identified as self funded retirees. Future projects may consider exploring how self funded retirees access information about Advance Care Planning.

Heard about ACP

Session one participants were asked ‘Have you ever heard before today about Advance Care Planning?’ and ‘Has any health professional ever talked to you about Advance Care Planning?’. Results are detailed below in Table 5.

Table 5. Ever heard or talked to about ACP pre session

| | Yes | | No | |
|---|-----------|----|-----|----|
| | (n = 276) | | | |
| | n | % | n | % |
| Have you ever heard before today about Advance Care Planning? | 62 | 22 | 214 | 78 |
| Has any health professional ever talked to you about Advance Care Planning? | 42 | 15 | 234 | 85 |

Note. Missing = 2

Results indicate that of those who completed an evaluation, 214 participants had not ever heard of ACP. Additionally, 20 participants had heard about ACP but had not heard about it through a health professional. Some participants were then asked if they recalled how they had heard about ACP. Responses indicated that those who heard about ACP, other than through a health professional, heard about this through a range of sources:

Read about ACP on the internet. No health professional talked to me about this.
(Vietnamese Elderly Collingwood)

I heard about it on the radio. (Vietnamese Elderly Wed Kensington)

My family is medical and talked to me about this plan. (Gateway Williamstown)

Someone from the Office of Public Advocate spoke to our group one year ago about this. (German Aged Westvale)

Future projects may consider exploring why some community based individuals are not hearing about ACP through a health professional.

Knowledge of ACP pre session

In the session one questionnaire participants were asked to rate their level of agreement with a number of statements relating to their knowledge of Advance Care Planning *before* attending this presentation. Response options were “Strongly Disagree”, “Disagree”, “Uncertain”, “Agree” and “Strongly Agree”. Results are detailed in Table 6.

Table 6. Session one agreement with pre statements about Advance Care Planning

| | Strongly Disagree | | Disagree | | Uncertain | | Agree | | Strongly Agree | |
|---|-------------------|---|----------|----|-----------|---|-------|----|----------------|---|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| I understand what Advance Care Planning is # | 17 | 6 | 177 | 64 | 15 | 5 | 63 | 23 | 3 | 1 |
| I understand why it may be useful to have an Advance Care Plan^ | 15 | 5 | 176 | 64 | 10 | 4 | 64 | 23 | 9 | 3 |
| I feel able to think about my values, beliefs and preference about my future health care^ | 17 | 6 | 163 | 59 | 14 | 5 | 69 | 25 | 11 | 4 |
| I understand how an Advance Care Plan may be used if I cannot make or communicate my decisions^ | 15 | 5 | 179 | 65 | 11 | 4 | 63 | 23 | 6 | 2 |
| I feel confident to talk to my family or friends about Advance Care Planning^ | 12 | 4 | 169 | 62 | 12 | 4 | 62 | 23 | 19 | 7 |
| I understand what a medical decision maker is in Advance Care Planning^ | 11 | 4 | 161 | 59 | 10 | 4 | 76 | 28 | 16 | 6 |
| I feel confident to talk to my doctor about Advance Care Planning^ | 13 | 5 | 179 | 65 | 12 | 4 | 56 | 20 | 14 | 5 |
| I know who to ask if I have questions about Advance Care Planning^ | 11 | 4 | 180 | 66 | 16 | 6 | 58 | 21 | 9 | 3 |

Note. Some percentages do not total 100% due to rounding off.

Missing = 3

^ Missing = 4

The majority of participants before the session one presentation did not understand ACP (70%), how it may be useful (69%) or how it may be used (70%). While more than a third (34%) understood what a medical decision maker was, the majority did not feel confident talking to family and friends (66%) or their doctor (70%) about ACP before session one.

Before session one the majority (65%) did not think about their values, beliefs and future health care preferences. In response to this question an individual participant stated:

Never thought of it. It is not part of our culture. (Chinese Friendship Group)

This response indicates that cultural factors may influence some participant's awareness or beliefs regarding planning for future health care.

Knowledge of ACP post session

In the session one questionnaire participants were asked to rate their level of agreement with a number of statements relating to their knowledge of ACP *after* attending this presentation. Response options were "Strongly Disagree", "Disagree", "Uncertain", "Agree" and "Strongly Agree". Results are detailed in Table 7.

Table 7. Session one agreement with post statements about Advance Care Planning

| | Strongly Disagree | | Disagree | | Uncertain | | Agree | | Strongly Agree | |
|---|-------------------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|----------|----|----------------|----|
| | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % |
| I understand what Advance Care Planning is# | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 215 | 79 | 34 | 13 |
| I understand why it may be useful to have an Advance Care Plan# | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 218 | 80 | 38 | 14 |
| I feel able to think about my values, beliefs and preference about my future health care^ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 25 | 9 | 202 | 75 | 38 | 14 |
| I understand how an Advance Care Plan may be used if I cannot make or communicate my decisions# | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 7 | 210 | 77 | 35 | 13 |
| I feel confident to talk to my family or friends about Advance Care Planning# | 4 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 146 | 54 | 86 | 32 |
| I understand what a medical decision maker is in Advance Care Planning# | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 190 | 70 | 55 | 20 |
| I feel confident to talk to my doctor about Advance Care Planning# | 1 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 7 | 147 | 54 | 94 | 35 |
| I know who to ask if I have questions about Advance Care Planning^ | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 200 | 74 | 47 | 17 |

Note. Some percentages do not total 100% due to rounding off.

Missing = 6

^ Missing = 7

Findings indicate that after attending the session one presentation more than 90% of respondents understood what ACP was (92%), why a plan may be useful (94%) or how it may be used (90%). The overwhelming majority (90%) reported after session one that they now understood what a medical decision maker is. Further, the majority reported feeling confident talking to their family and friends (86%) or doctor (89%) about this issue. This is reinforced by the following participant quotes:

This is not difficult to talk to doctors about. (Mandarin Diabetes Support group)

Very nice doctor. I can talk to my doctor. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday)

I talk to my kids. Yes very confident talking to my doctor. (Preston Reservoir
Indochinese Elderly)

While the majority reported feeling comfortable talking about this issue after session one, a small number still did not feel comfortable talking to family and friends (6%) or their doctor (4%) about this. This finding is supported by the following qualitative data:

Would feel confident talking to my psychologist but not my doctor. (Deer Park Senior
Citizens)

No. I don't have a doctor I trust enough. (Mandarin Older and Active Reservoir)

The first participant indicated a willingness to talk to another health professional about ACP but not her doctor. With the second participant issues around lack of trust hindered his willingness to talk about this issue with a doctor. These findings support the concept of an ACP team-based model that includes health professionals such as nurses, social workers, allied health professionals and medical staff (Dixon and Knapp, 2018). While team-based models offer a cost effective alternative to entirely physician led ACP, this shared approach has the potential for increasing consumer choice around who they talk to about ACP and enhancing accessible healthcare. Future projects may give consideration to development and piloting a tailored ACP team-based model in the community setting.

My doctor is so busy. (PANCH Ladies Fri)

Vietnamese family doctor is so busy. They don't have time to fill in the form.
(Vietnamese Elderly Wed Kensington)

Results indicate that individuals reported a perceived “busyness” of the doctor for not feeling confident talking to their doctor about ACP. In addition, feedback from a few individuals highlighted a perception that some Vietnamese speaking doctors were too busy to fill in these forms. This reinforces findings that physicians report lack of time as a barrier to ACP (Dingfield & Kayser, 2017; Dixon & Knapp, 2018; Patel et al., 2012). Further exploration around why some individuals don’t feel confident talking to their doctor about ACP may be warranted.

Take action

Attendance at session one prompted a number of participants to detail action they planned to initiate as a result of this presentation. This is highlighted in the qualitative data below:

Have already done so but will review. (cohealth Fri community group)

I am seeing my doctor next week. I will definitely talk to him about this. (Shine group)

I will do it. I will talk to my children. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Friday)

After this I will ask my kids about this. Yes I will talk to my doctor. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Monday)

This suggests that attendance at the session one presentation prompted a small number of participants to plan action regarding ACP. Two of these four participant quotes indicate a willingness to discuss this issue with their children. Our findings supports research by Lum et al., (2017) that found individuals can be motivated to participate in ACP by a desire to discuss this with family.

Level of understanding

Session one participants were asked ‘How much did you understand in today’s presentation?’. Results detailed in Table 8 below.

Table 8. Level of understanding session one

| | Nothing at all | | Not much | | Some | | Most | | All | |
|--|----------------|---|----------|---|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|
| | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % |
| (n = 272) | | | | | | | | | | |
| How much did you understand in today's presentation? | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 38 | 14 | 89 | 33 | 132 | 49 |

Note. Missing = 6

The results found that the majority of participants understood 'All' (49%) or 'Most' (33%) of session one. These findings are supported by qualitative data highlighting level of understanding, relevance of this session and individual learnings.

I am very happy I came today. I have not heard of this topic in the past. I have learnt a lot. (Chinese Thurs high rise North Melbourne)

Your explanation through the interpreter was 1000%!! You speak slow so very interesting for the people. (Vietnamese Chinese Seniors Fri Ascot Vale)

It was very clear. I understand perfectly. (STACC group)

It was a good meeting for me. It helped me. (STACC group)

Very clear. Very good. (Mandarin Older and Active Reservoir)

Fully understood this. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday)

First time hearing this information. (Preston Reservoir Indochinese Elderly)

It's very important for me. (Preston Reservoir Indochinese Elderly)

Of the two that reported understanding ‘Nothing at all’ – one participant advised he did not understand the presentation as he spoke Cantonese and no Cantonese interpreter was present during this talk.

Further analysis on those not confident talk to doctor

Participants were asked how confident they felt talking to their doctor about ACP after attending session one. Those participants who ‘Strongly disagreed’, ‘Disagreed’ or felt ‘Uncertain’ talking to their doctor about ACP after attending session one were then selected (n = 31). Further analysis then examined how much this subgroup understood in session one. Results are detailed in Table 9.

Table 9. Level of understanding those not confident talk to doctor

| How much understand | n | % |
|---------------------|----|------|
| Nothing at all | 2 | 6 |
| Not much | 3 | 10 |
| Some | 10 | 32 |
| Most | 11 | 35 |
| All | 5 | 16 |
| Total | 31 | 100% |

Findings indicate that of those who ‘Strongly disagreed’, ‘Disagreed’ or felt ‘Uncertain’ talking to their doctor about ACP after session one – 51% understood ‘Most’ or ‘All’ of session one. These results suggest that lack of understanding about ACP may not be a factor for at least half of this cohort regarding their reluctance to talk to their doctor about ACP. Of note is that 68% of this subgroup reported Asia as their region of birth, compared to 45% born in Asia for the whole sample group.

What would stop you talking about this?

During session one group participants were asked ‘What would stop you from talking about this [ACP]? A range of responses were offered from the group. Qualitative data were coded thematically and outlined below.

Some participants viewed ACP as a future concept that may not be relevant to their current situation and may stop people talking about this:

Might think things will only happen in the future – not now. (cohealth Community group)

You might feel like you're 21 on the inside and you're actually 94 on the outside. And you think it won't happen to you. (Older men Thursday Brunswick)

Conversely one participant reported this as an issue best addressed in the current rather than in the future.

Better to talk about this now rather than in the future when we are unwell.
(Chinese Thursday high rise North Melbourne)

Others voiced concern about worry or stress as to why they may not talk about this issue:

If I talk about this it might worry them [the children]. (Merri Health Glenroy Ladies)

I don't want my children to worry about me so I don't talk to my children about this.
(Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday)

I might cause stress. (Mandarin Older and Active Reservoir)

I might worry that I am a burden to others. (Older person high rise Mon Flemington)

Our findings suggest that some participants worried that talking about ACP might cause stress or be a burden. These results contrast with the literature that indicates ACP discussions can decrease stress and the burden for caregivers (Dingfield & Kayser, 2017).

In addition one participant reported that in his culture he had a responsibility to the family and this would stop him talking about this issue (Indian Friendship group).

Some participants voiced concerns that if they talked about this issue it may lead to unwanted consequences:

I might not have a choice where I go after I am sick. (Merri Health Glenroy Ladies)

Fear of loss of independence. (Older Person high rise Thursday Carlton)

I worry if I talk about this they will send me to aged care [residential facility].

If I fill this plan in, can it be used to move me into a nursing home? I want to stay living in my own home. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday)

This might be used to make me move from where I live and to live in a nursing home. (Mandarin Older and Active Reservoir)

Some reported talking about this issue may trigger uncomfortable feelings:

Shyness (Older men Thursday Brunswick)

Scared (cohealth Community group)

Pride (STACC group)

Fear (STACC group)

Shame

Lack of confidence

Embarrassment (STACC group)

I might feel embarrassed. (Older person high rise Mon Flemington)

In contrast others suggested that a feeling of embarrassment would not stop them talking about this:

Nothing to be embarrassed about. (Mandarin Diabetes Support group)

Others reported that lack of trust, understanding or differing viewpoints may stop them talking about this issue:

Trust. If I felt I couldn't trust the other person. (German aged Westvale)

They [the family] might not understand. (Older mixed Thurs Glenroy)

If I thought the other person might have a different viewpoint of what I have. (OMNI)

In a community group male participants talked about how they were raised may impact on their willingness to talk about some issues (Older men Thursday Brunswick). One participant reported that when he was growing up he was told 'big boys don't cry' and that made him feel he shouldn't talk about certain issues and he should hide his feelings. Another participant stated: "Yes we were given those messages but now we know that it is wrong. It is okay to cry." (Older men Thursday Brunswick)

Others voiced concerns that if they talked about this issue the family may not be willing to engage or try to stop the conversation:

If you talk about this with the family they will say 'oh we don't want to talk about that'. (Westvale Men's shed)

If I try to talk to the kids about this they say 'stop talking about this'. (Deer Park Senior Citizens)

The children stop you talking. (Williamstown Thurs OPHR)

They [the family] might just talk on top of you. (Older mixed Thurs Glenroy)

The children don't want to listen. (Italian Social Club)

Communication with the children is difficult. (Williamstown Thurs OPHR)

I think the family might just brush it [the conversation] off.

The children say 'Oh you'll be alright'.

Future projects may consider further exploration of the barriers to communication about ACP between adult children and their parents.

A couple of participants reported how cultural factors may impact on their willingness to discuss future plans for individuals or family:

In our culture from an early age we make a plan who would be in charge if something happened to me. (Somali Thursday Collingwood)

I can remember during the war my mother saying to me, if anything happens to me you are in charge of the children, the family. (Somali Thursday Collingwood)

One participant from a Mandarin and Vietnamese speaking group reported perceptions of bad luck may impact on other's willingness to speak about this issue:

This plan sounds like making a will. Some people will feel it sounds like jinxed, like bad luck. (Strong Leaves group)

Another individual reported on general perceptions about Vietnamese people's lack of willingness to plan for their healthcare.

Sometimes Vietnamese people don't plan ahead for their healthcare. I think this is a good idea and we should all think about it. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Monday)

Conversely others in a range of Mandarin and Vietnamese speaking groups reported that they felt free to talk about this:

No issue talking about it. Please go on. (Vietnamese Elderly Collingwood)

Very interesting this topic. (Vietnamese Elderly Collingwood)

We okay to talk about this. (Chinese Friendship group)

Nothing would stop us. (Chinese Friendship group)

I am happy to discuss this. (Chinese Thursday high rise North Melbourne)

We are okay to talk about this. (Indochinese Elderly Refugee Friday)

I am okay to talk about it. (Preston Reservoir Indochinese Elderly)

Not very difficult thing. We have already communicated to our children about this. (Mandarin Diabetes Support group).

Better to be open and upfront about this. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday).

We are not hesitant to talk about this. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Thursday).

I am happy to talk about this with my children. (Indochinese Elderly Refugees Monday).

These findings suggest that while there may be cultural factors that impact on the willingness of some participants to engage in this topic – these are not homogenous cultural groups but groups with a diverse range of views on this topic.

How to get people talking about ACP

Session one participants were then asked ‘So how do you think we can get people talking about this?’ Participants offered a range of strategies to get people talking about this topic. Some suggested they would take the initiative to start the conversation:

Oh, I’d just start talking.

Just start talking about it. (Older mixed Thurs Glenroy)

Introduce it yourself. (cohealth Stronger Living exercise group Niddrie)

While others suggested practicing this conversation with a friend before introducing the idea to family:

I might talk to one of my friends from this group before I talk to my family.

Practice with a friend.

Additionally, other participants reported that increased understanding and awareness of this topic may assist.

Start to talk about this issue from time to time. (cohealth Community group)

The more we understand about this topic there is less worry. (Vietnamese Elderly Collingwood group)

An individual participant further suggested taking the personal out of this conversation by using others as an example.

Use others as an example. (cohealth Community group)

SESSION TWO

ATTENDANCE

A total of five groups were attended for session two.

SAMPLE

Five local community groups from session one were revisited and invited to complete a questionnaire about behaviour change after attending the session one presentation. This was session two. A total of 25 participants completed the session two questionnaire.

This project planned for the delivery of sixty session one presentations – with each group revisited a second time for session two. Due to time constraints the session two plan was downgraded resulting in the delivery of session two in five groups - instead of the planned sixty. Future projects may give consideration to allowing more time in the project for follow up sessions.

In this project the date of session one is Time 1 (T1) and the date of session two is Time 2 (T2). The timeframe between T1 and T2 was grouped by weeks and coded. Results in Table 10.

Table 10. T1 – T2 timeframe

| | n | % |
|-------------|---|-----|
| 0-8 weeks | 1 | 20 |
| 9-16 weeks | 1 | 20 |
| 17-24 weeks | 2 | 40 |
| 25-32 weeks | 0 | 0 |
| 33-40 weeks | 1 | 20 |
| Total | 5 | 100 |

Although the sample size was small, there were considerable differences in the T1 – T2 timeframe between the session two groups which potentially limits the comparability of

findings. Future projects may consider designing the project plan to ensure more uniformity in the timeframe between T1 and T2.

Local Government Area by group

Session two groups by Local Government Area are detailed in Table 11 below.

Table 11. Session two by Local Government Area

| | n | % |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Melbourne | 2 | 40 |
| Maribyrnong | 1 | 20 |
| Brimbank | 1 | 20 |
| Moreland | 1 | 20 |
| Total | 5 | 100 |

Partner organisations

Session two groups by partner organisation are detailed below in Table 12.

Table 12. Session two by partner organisation

| Group by partner org | n | % |
|----------------------|---|-----|
| cohealth group | 3 | 60 |
| Merri Health group | 1 | 20 |
| Other | 1 | 20 |
| Total | 5 | 100 |

Demographics

Session two demographic data is detailed in Table 13 below.

Table 13. Session two demographics

| | <i>n</i> | % |
|---------------|----------|------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 10 | 40 |
| Female | 15 | 60 |
| Total | 25 | 100% |
| Age | | |
| 60 - 69 | 5 | 20 |
| 70 - 79 | 10 | 40 |
| 80 - 89 | 8 | 32 |
| 90 - 99 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | 25 | 100% |

Results indicate that all session two participants were 60 years of age and over. The majority of participants (60%) were female.

Session two participants were asked if as a result of attending the talk on ACP they thought about or talked to someone about this issue. Findings are set out in Table 14.

Table 14. Think or talk about Advance Care Planning

| | Yes | | No | |
|---|----------|----|----------|----|
| | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % |
| | (n = 25) | | | |
| Think about Advance Care Planning | 19 | 76 | 6 | 24 |
| Talk to someone about Advance Care Planning | 17 | 68 | 8 | 32 |

Results indicate that the majority of session two participants thought (76%) and talked (68%) about ACP after the presentation. This finding suggests that a single short presentation on ACP to a local community group is helpful in prompting attendees to think and talk about this issue.

Those who did talk to someone about ACP were then asked who they talked to. Participants were invited to select multiple options. Results in Table 15.

Table 15. Who did you talk to about Advance Care Planning?

| | Yes |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| | (n = 17) n |
| My children | 11 |
| My partner, husband or wife | 8 |
| A friend | 8 |
| My doctor | 6 |
| Another relative | 3 |
| Another health professional | - |

Participants reported that they talked to a range of individuals inclusive of children (n=11), partner (n=8) and a friend (n=8). No participants reported talking about ACP to a health professional, other than their doctor. Future projects may explore potential barriers that hinder individuals talking about ACP to health professionals, other than their doctor.

Those who did talk to someone were then asked how many conversations they had about ACP. Results in Table 16.

Table 16. How many conversations about Advance Care Planning?

| How many conversations? | n | % |
|----------------------------|----|-----|
| One conversation | 5 | 29% |
| Two conversations | 6 | 35% |
| Three conversations | 3 | 18% |
| Four conversations | 1 | 6% |
| Five or more conversations | 2 | 12% |
| Total | 17 | 100 |

As a result of attending session one, participants had 40 conversations about ACP. Two participants reported having five or more conversations. This finding that a single short

presentation on ACP to local community groups has generated numerous conversations about ACP is encouraging. While this project did not undertake a detailed analysis of the specifics of these conversations - recent research found that talking with others about ACP is helpful and that older adults are willing to discuss a range of topics related to ACP (Lum et al., 2016).

Participants were asked as a result of attending the talk on ACP ‘Did you complete a written Advance Care Plan?’ and ‘Did anyone else you know complete a written Advance Care Plan?’. Results in Table 17.

Table 17. Complete a written Advance Care Plan

| | Yes | | No | |
|--|----------|---|----------|-----|
| | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % |
| | (n = 25) | | | |
| Did you complete a written Advance Care Plan? | 1 | 4 | 24 | 96 |
| Did anyone else you know complete a written Advance Care Plan? | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100 |

One respondent completed a written Advance Care Plan as a result of attending the talk on ACP. The participant reported that her son was involved in this process:

My son filled in the papers and took me to the doctor to fill in the form. (English Ladies Fri Brunswick)

This concurs with a recent study by Detering et al., (2015) that found 68% of discussions around ACP occurred with family members present and that inclusion of family was an important factor for effective ACP discussions. Additionally, this data supports research that visit companions are influential in patient’s satisfaction with healthcare appointments and can be a valuable resource to enhance the healthcare interaction (Wolff & Roter, 2008). Visit companions are defined as family members or friends that accompany individuals to healthcare appointments. Future projects may give consideration to exploration of the role of visit companions in community based ACP appointments. Further work may examine healthcare professionals’ confidence in interacting with and supporting visit companions in community based ACP appointments.

One other member of a participating local community group did not attend the talk on ACP. However, after session one she was given the forms by the group facilitator and did complete a written Advance Care Plan with her doctor. Feedback from this participant:

It [Advance Care Planning] is very valuable to me. I have no family, you do everything yourself, this plan lets me do that...it makes me complete. (Carlton OPHR)

This finding reinforces that presentation of ACP information to local community groups can assist with dissemination of this information to others and has the potential to lead to completion of a written Advance Care Plan. In particular, this individual reported that having no family made the Advance Care Plan helpful to her. This is an important finding as increasing our understanding of patient motivations to participate in ACP can assist to tailor future interventions (Lum et al., 2017).

Reasons why participants did not write a plan

Participants who did not complete a written Advance Care Plan were asked why they didn't write a plan. One individual planned to complete a written Advance Care Plan but had not done so as yet:

I said that I think we should do the plan. I haven't written any plan yet. (Chinese Seniors)

One participant reported a sense of responsibility for their own care as a reason for not initiating a written plan. In part, it was perceived that making a written plan may be onerous for others:

I didn't make a written plan. We have a habitual thinking as long as we can do it our self, we do it our self. We don't want to make trouble for other people. (Strong Leaves)

Lack of time for the doctor to complete the ACP paperwork was cited as a reason why one participant did not initiate a written plan:

It is a lot of effort to fill in the plan with a doctor so I'm not sure if I will do it. It will be a lot of trouble for the doctor to fill in a form. (Chinese Seniors)

These findings concur with current research that indicates time constraints form a significant barrier to ACP conversations (Dixon & Knapp, 2018). This suggests further exploration around why some individuals don't initiate a written Advance Care Plan with their doctor due to perceived busyness of the doctor may be warranted.

Some participants viewed ACP as a future concept that may not be relevant to their current situation to explain why they did not complete a written plan:

I think it's still too early to write a plan. I am still healthy. (Chinese Seniors)

I didn't make a written plan. I am still young. I am healthy. (Strong Leaves)

I didn't write a plan. We think that we won't die so soon. My health is still okay so no written plan. (Strong Leaves)

I didn't see the need to at the time. (English Ladies Fri Brunswick)

I'm very confident when the need arises – I will be the first out there. But at the moment it's not there yet. (Carlton OPHR)

I can't say why [I haven't filled out a written plan]. Maybe at this moment I'm not in a situation. We are not on our last breath. I don't even think of it. (Westvale Men's shed)

Maybe my GP will think I don't need to fill in this form. I am still in good health. (Chinese Seniors)

The seven participants who viewed ACP as a future concept and not currently required were older individuals. Specifically, this cohort comprised 60-69 years (n=2), 70-79 years (n=4) and 80-89 years (n=1). Of interest is that one of these respondents suggested it is the role of the GP to decide whether this ACP paperwork was necessary. This reinforces the findings from Patel et al., (2012) that a potential barrier to ACP is an assumption by patients that clinicians will initiate the discussion around ACP and decide when it is needed. However, recent research suggests poor coverage of communication skills in medical training can leave physicians ill equipped to initiate and lead discussions around ACP (Dixon & Knapp, 2018). Additionally, many physicians undertake short training activities of limited depth around ACP which may be insufficient to upskill the physician in this complex area of healthcare (Dixon & Knapp, 2018). Future projects may give consideration to a series of tailored ACP GP education sessions to enhance physician confidence and skills around community based ACP conversations.

Two respondents cited uncertainty as a barrier to filling in the written plan:

I didn't write a plan. We don't know how to fill out the form. (Strong Leaves)

I did start the written plan but I didn't finish. You don't know what you need to do.
(English Ladies Fri Brunswick)

Others cited health issues as a barrier to filling in the written plan:

My eyesight is limited. (Chinese Seniors)

I didn't think about Advance Care Planning as my memory is not good. (Carlton OPHR).

I didn't make a written plan because I was too full of other health issues. I didn't think about Advance Care Planning because I had other healthcare issues. (Carlton OPHR)

Talk about ACP

Participants who did to talk to someone else about ACP were asked if you did talk to someone, can you share a story of how that went?

Two participants reported talking to others about ACP but kept the information brief:

I spoke to my husband but I didn't specify the information. (Chinese Seniors)

When I talk to my children not much details. (Strong Leaves)

Several respondents reported feeling confident sharing this information:

So I called a friend and I shared what the plan was about. The information shared was clear. (Chinese Seniors)

I talked to my GP. My GP speaks Mandarin so it was okay. Doctor very good.
(Strong Leaves)

I talked to many friends about this - more than five friends. I talked to friends in China.
(Chinese Seniors)

I talked to my doctor. The conversation was good. (Carlton OPHR)

I talked to two of my sons. (English Ladies Fri Brunswick)

Of interest is that one participant who talked to two people about ACP reported a discrepancy between his doctor and his brother as to how the information was received:

I talked to my doctor. She was very sympathetic. And she went into it very much... I talked to my brother but he wasn't very interested. (Carlton OPHR)

One theme that emerged was discussion around whose role it is to care for older people in Australia. Some viewed the government as taking this role:

The Australian Government takes good care of old people. (Chinese Seniors)

We talked to friends in China that the Australian Government looks after older people with this plan. (Chinese Seniors)

I talked to my husband. We've concluded that living here the government looks after us well. At the end of the day we will go to a nursing home. (Strong Leaves)

One participant reported the children have a role caring for older people:

I talked to my daughter. My daughter said since you are old it is the children's responsibility to take care of you. (Strong Leaves)

Conversely, others reported the children are too busy to care for older people and that outside assistance should be considered:

Our kids are all busy at work. (Strong Leaves)

I talked to my children. My children asked me to seek help to help me do some of my work. (Strong Leaves)

Overall participants reported attendance at session one prompted a variety of discussions around current and future healthcare needs with partners, family members, friends and their doctor. This reinforces findings from Houben et al., (2014) that discussions around ACP can be valuable and productive.

Not talk about ACP

Participants who did not talk to anyone about ACP were asked why they didn't.

Two participants viewed this as a private issue and that they didn't feel the need to talk to anyone about this:

I think it is my matter. I don't think I need to speak to anyone or write it down. I can make my own decision. (Chinese Seniors)

One individual cited social isolation as a barrier to talking to others about this:

I didn't talk to anyone. I don't have anyone to talk to. (Strong Leaves)

Another individual cited lack of willingness to think or talk about this issue:

I didn't talk to anyone. I didn't think about it. I don't want to think about it.
(Strong Leaves)

Others cited a fatalistic approach to healthcare as influencing their decision not to make a written Advance Care Plan:

I didn't make a written plan. As long as you are happy everyday you will be okay.
(Strong Leaves)

I didn't write a plan. I think death is a natural thing. There is nothing I can do about it.
(Strong Leaves)

The second of these quotes reinforces the findings from Patel et al., (2012) that some patients believe deterioration is a normal part of ageing and inevitable. This belief has the potential to hinder and form a barrier to the ACP process.

ADDITIONAL OUTCOMES

A number of additional project outcomes are detailed below.

Information dissemination

This project facilitated the uploading of two Advance Care Planning Australia videos to Tonic TV – an audio visual system across cohealth waiting rooms playing rotational health promotional material. These videos include:

1. A doctor and patient discuss Advance Care Planning
2. A nurse introduces her patient to Advance Care Planning

This idea was initiated by Mary Natoli, Community Health Nurse Aged Care, cohealth Collingwood.

Sharing project learnings

Three cohealth FARREP Community Workers attended a session one presentation at cohealth Collingwood. Learnings shared with these workers:

- Content, formatting and delivery of the presentation
- Collection of qualitative responses during delivery of the presentation
- How the pre and post evaluation is undertaken

WHAT WORKED WELL

- Incidental dissemination of information (workers, kitchen staff, participants take resources for family or friends and disseminate to others)
- Linking in with existing local community groups proved to be a useful model
- Linking into partner organization existing local community groups was helpful
- Word of mouth referral from a local community group useful

CHALLENGES

- No key one point of contact when searching for local community groups across nine LGA's resulting in individualized contact to numerous groups
- Overwhelming majority of participants required assistance to complete evaluation thus adding time to the data collection process
- Some participants declined to complete the session one evaluation. This, in combination with time constraints, explains the smaller sample of completed evaluations compared to the total number of participants attending session one.

LIMITATIONS

This project planned for the delivery of sixty session one presentations – with each group revisited a second time for session two. Due to time constraints the session two plan was downgraded resulting in the delivery of session two in five groups - instead of the planned sixty.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that future projects give consideration to:

- Allowing more time in the project for follow up sessions
- Aiming for greater uniformity of timeframe between session one and session two to enhance comparability of results
- Exploration of the barriers to communication about ACP between adult children and their parents
- Examining why some community based individuals are not hearing about ACP through a health professional
- Further exploration around why some individuals don't feel confident talking to their doctor about ACP
- Examining potential barriers that hinder individuals talking about ACP to health professionals, other than their doctor

- Development, implementation and evaluation of an ACP team-based model in the community setting
- Development, piloting and evaluation of tailored ACP GP education sessions to enhance physician confidence and skills around community based ACP conversations
- How information about ACP is delivered to those *not* linked into existing community groups – as this project primarily delivered information about ACP to those linked into existing community groups
- Exploration of the role of visit companions in community based ACP appointments
- Healthcare professionals confidence in interacting with and providing support to visit companions in community based ACP appointments
- Exploring how self funded retirees access information about ACP

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Advance Care Planning questionnaire: Session one

Please fill in this questionnaire to evaluate our presentation.

Your answers will be strictly confidential.

Name of your community group:

Age: 20 – 29 years 30 – 39 years 40 – 49 years
 50 – 59 years 60 – 69 years 70 - 79 years
 80 - 89 years 90 – 99 years 100 – 109 years

Gender: Male Female Intersex or Indeterminate

What suburb do you live?

Country of birth:

Main language spoken at home:

Family Main Source of Income:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pensioner | <input type="checkbox"/> Government payments (ie Centrelink) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Employee (Salary/Wages) | <input type="checkbox"/> Self employed |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Self funded retiree | <input type="checkbox"/> Visitor visa |

Please fill in this page BEFORE the presentation

Have you ever heard before today about Advance Care Planning? Yes No

Has any health professional ever talked to you about Advance Care Planning? Yes No

| Please circle the number that best describes how much you agree or disagree with the statement | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | ☹ Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | ☺ Strongly Agree |
| I understand what Advance Care Planning is | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand why it may be useful to have an Advance Care Plan | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel able to think about my values, beliefs and preferences about my future health care | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand how an Advance Care Plan may be used if I cannot make or communicate my decisions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel confident to talk to my family or friends about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand what a medical decision maker is in Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel confident to talk to my doctor about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I know who to ask if I have questions about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Please fill in this page AFTER the presentation

Please circle the number that best describes how much you agree or disagree with the statement

| | ☹ Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Uncertain | Agree | ☺ Strongly Agree |
|--|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|------------------------|
| I understand what Advance Care Planning is | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand why it may be useful to have an Advance Care Plan | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel able to think about my values, beliefs and preferences about my future health care | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand how an Advance Care Plan may be used if I cannot make or communicate my decisions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel confident to talk to my family or friends about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I understand what a medical decision maker is in Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I feel confident to talk to my doctor about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I know who to ask if I have questions about Advance Care Planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| | Nothing at all | Not much | Some | Most | All |
|--|-------------------|----------|------|------|-----|
| How much did you understand in today's presentation? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Thank you for completing this questionnaire

APPENDIX B

Advance Care Planning questionnaire: Follow up evaluation

Please fill in this questionnaire to evaluate our talk on Advance Care Planning.

Your answers are strictly confidential.

1. Did you attend the talk on Advance Care Planning a few months ago? Yes No

If NO, please STOP HERE. You do not need to answer any more questions.

Name of your community group:

Age: 40 – 49 years 50 – 59 years 60 – 69 years

70 - 79 years 80 - 89 years 90 – 99 years

Gender: Male Female Intersex or Indeterminate

As a result of attending the talk on Advance Care Planning did you:

1. Think about Advance Care Planning? Yes No

2. Talk to someone about Advance Care Planning? Yes No

3. If you talked to someone, please tick *who* you talked to about Advance Care Planning?

(You can tick multiple options)

My children

My partner, husband or wife

Another relative

My doctor

Another health professional

A friend

4. If you talked to someone, please tick how many conversations you had about Advance Care Planning? *(Please just tick one option)*

1 conversation

2 conversations

3 conversations

4 conversations

5 or more conversations

5. As a result of attending the talk on Advance Care Planning:
Did you complete a written Advance Care Plan? Yes No

Did anyone else you know complete a written Advance Care Plan? Yes No

If YES to talk to someone else or YES to write a plan go to q. 6.

If NO to talk to someone else or NO to write a plan go to q. 7.

6. If you did talk to someone or you did write a plan, can you share a story of how that went?

7. If you didn't talk to someone or you didn't write a plan, can I ask why you didn't do anything?

Thank you for completing this questionnaire

REFERENCES

Detering K, Sutton E, Fraser S, et al. (2015) Feasibility and acceptability of advance care planning in elderly Italian and Greek speaking patients as compared to English speaking patients: an Australian cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*; 5: e008800. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008800

Dingfield LE, Kayser JB. (2017) Integrating Advance Care Planning into Practice. *Chest*; 151(6):1387-1393. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.02.024.

Dixon J, Knapp M. (2018) Whose job? The staffing of advance care planning support in twelve international healthcare organizations: a qualitative interview study. *BMC Palliative Care*; 17:78. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-018-0333-1>.

Houben CH, Spruit MA, Groenen MT, et al. (2014) Efficacy of Advance Care Planning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*; 15:477–89.

Lum HD, Jones J, Matlock DD, et al. (2016) Advance care planning meets group medical visits: the feasibility of promoting conversations. *Ann Fam Med*; 14:125–32.

Lum HD, Sudore RL, Matlock DD, et al. (2017) A group visit initiative improves Advance Care Planning documentation among older adults in primary care. *J Am Board Fam Med*; 30(4): 480–490. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2017.04.170036.

Patel K, Janssen DJ, Curtis JR. (2012) Advance care planning in COPD. *Respirology*; 17:72e78.

Wolff JL, Roter DL. (2008) Medical visit companions as a resource for vulnerable older adults, *Arch Intern Med*; 168(13):1409-1415.